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Definition of Sexual Harassment

• Sexual harassment is:
  • Conditioning an aid, benefit, or service on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct (quid pro quo)
    • Unwelcome sexual behavior determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to a program or activity offered by the school district
    • “Sexual assault,” “dating violence,” “domestic violence,” or “stalking,” as defined by federal statutes known as the Clery Act and the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)
  • Sexual harassment under Title IX likely includes harassment based on sexual orientation and gender identity. See Obergefell v Hodges, 576 US 644 (2015) and Bostock v Clayton Cnty, 590 US ___ (2020)
Quid Pro Quo

- Generally, conditioning a benefit or aid offered by the school district on acquiescence to a sexual advance
- Examples
  - A teacher who conditions a grade on such acquiescence
  - An administrator who conditions a promotion on such acquiescence
  - A school board member who conditions a vote on such acquiescence

Effective Denial

- Unwelcomed sexual behavior a reasonable person determines is:
  - So “severe,” “pervasive,” and “objectively offensive”
  - That it effectively denies a person equal access to a program or activity offered by the school district

This definition needs to be unpacked
Effective Denial - Hypotheticals

- An affair between two consenting adult employees, reported by a parent
- An administrator or teacher who:
  - Makes a sexually charged comment about a colleague or a student
  - Inappropriately touches a colleague or a student
- A female student or group of female students:
  - Who, daily, tease a male student about his sexual orientation on the bus
  - Who frequently squeeze a male student’s bottom and call him sexy

Third Prong – Clery Act and VAWA

- “Sexual Assault” is an offense, classified as forcible or nonforcible sex offense by the FBI’s uniform crime reporting system
- **Forcible Sex Offenses**
  - Forcible Rape, including where victim is incapable of giving consent because of age, or temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity
  - Forcible Sodomy, similarly-defined
  - Sexual Assault with an Object, similarly-defined
  - Forcible Fondling, defined as forcibly touching of private body parts of another for sexual gratification, similarly-defined
- **Nonforcible Sex Offenses**
  - Incest
  - Statutory Rape. In Michigan, the age of consent is 16. However, statutory rape is defined to include consensual sex between teachers and students who are younger than 18.
Third Prong – Clery Act and VAWA

• “Dating Violence” is violence committed by a person:
  • Who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with
    the victim; and,
  • Where the existence of the relationship is determined by considering the
    following factors:
    • The length of the relationship
    • The type of relationship
    • The frequency of interactions

• “Domestic Violence” includes felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence
  committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by
  a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is
  cohabitating with or has cohabited with the victim as a spouse or intimate
  partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under Michigan
  domestic or family violence laws, or by any other person against an adult or
  “youth” victim who is protected from that person’s action under Michigan
  domestic or family violence laws
  • For purposes of this law, a “youth” is defined as a person from 11 to 24 years old

• “Stalking” is engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person
  that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety
  of others; or, suffer substantial emotional distress
Key Terms

**Complainant** = an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment

**Respondent** = an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment

Actual Notice - Definition

- A school district is deemed to have actual notice of alleged sexual harassment if any school district employee believes an employee or student has been sexually harassed.
- This standard is **significantly broader** than the actual notice standard established in the Gebser and Davis cases.
The Title IX Coordinator

- Every school district is required to appoint a Title IX Coordinator, whose job title includes the words “Title IX Coordinator”
- Every school district is required to post the Title IX Coordinator’s contact information (i.e., office location, telephone number, and email address) on its website, to publish the contact information in all catalogues and handbooks, and to notify each employee union
- School districts are also required to post Title IX training on their websites

Title IX Coordinator’s Duties

- To oversee the school district’s compliance with Title IX
- To respond to any report of sexual harassment against any school district employee or student
- To oversee and/or participate in the resolution of any formal complaint of sexual harassment according to the school district’s grievance procedure
Responding to Reports of Sexual Harassment

- Reports of sexual harassment may be verbal or written and may be received at any time.
- Upon receiving a report, the Title IX Coordinator must:
  - Contact Complainant as soon as practicable
  - Notify Complainant of his/her right to file a formal complaint and the grievance process
  - Discuss and implement “supportive measures”

Contacting Complainant

- Keep in Mind:
  - At the K-12 level parents should be included
  - Complainant and parents may not be aware of the report prior to notice from the Title IX Coordinator
  - An in-person or virtual meeting may be preferable to a telephone conversation
  - The difficulty of describing the grievance process unless Complainant and parents are looking at the policy
  - A formal complaint followed by the grievance procedure may delay the imposition of disciplinary measures against a Respondent-student
  - “Supportive measures” can and should be implemented regardless whether a formal complaint is filed
  - It’s a lot to take in, and no final decisions need be made during the first conversation
  - The importance of emphasizing Title IX’s prohibition against retaliation

- Take Notes!
Supportive Measures

- Title IX was enacted to prevent sex discrimination and harassment from adversely affecting access to educational opportunities.

  - Supportive measures should restore or ensure continued access to educational opportunities, must be free to Complainant, and may not be punitive or unreasonably burden Respondent.

- Non-exclusive examples of supportive measures include: no-contact orders, counseling, course modifications, schedule changes, transfers, increased security and monitoring.

- The Title IX Coordinator must consider, but is not bound by, Complainant’s wishes.

Temporary Removal

- The temporary removal of a Respondent-student is not a supportive measure.
  - A Respondent-student may be removed based on an individualized assessment that he/she poses an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of Complainant or others.
  - Due process must follow immediately.

- A Respondent-employee may be placed on a temporary administrative leave.
Disabled Students

- A disabled student’s status as a Respondent does not waive or otherwise affect his/her protections under the IDEA, the ADA, or Section 504.

- Generally, but subject to statutory exceptions, an IDEA or Section 504-eligible student may not be disciplined for misconduct that is a manifestation of his/her disability.

- A few additional thoughts ...

The Formal Complaint

- A formal complaint should describe the who, what, why, where, and when.

- A formal complaint must be signed by Complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

- Formal Complaint forms [see next slide]
Presumption of Innocence

- Respondents are always presumed innocent; or, more technically, not responsible for sexual harassment
- Respondents may not be sanctioned unless and until an investigation is completed and a final decision rendered
- Why?
Notice

- The Title IX Coordinator must notify Complainant and Respondent, in writing, when a formal complaint is filed.

- Notice to Respondent must include:
  - A statement of the allegations in sufficient detail to permit Respondent to prepare a response.
  - A statement that Respondent is presumed not responsible until a final decision is rendered.
  - The parties’ right to inspect the formal complaint and all relevant evidence.
  - The parties’ right to be represented by an advisor, who may be an attorney.
  - Any provision of the Student Code of Conduct prohibiting false statements or representations.

- Supplemental notice must be provided if new allegations arise during the course of the investigation.

- Notice forms [see next slide]
**Dismissals**

- The Title IX Coordinator *must* dismiss a formal complaint if:
  - The allegations do not establish sexual harassment even if they are true
  - The allegations did not occur in connection with the school district’s programs or services
  - The allegations did not occur in the United States
  - Need to document basis for dismissal

- The Title IX Coordinator *may* dismiss a formal complaint if:
  - Respondent’s employment with or enrollment in the school district ends
  - Specific circumstances prevent the school district from gathering sufficient relevant evidence to reach a final decision (e.g., passage of time, unavailability of witnesses or other information)

---

**Informal Resolution**

- Once a formal complaint is filed, the school district may not informally resolve allegations of sexual harassment unless:
  - Complainant and Respondent have been advised of their rights and the circumstances in which informal resolution may preclude resumption of a formal complaint investigation
  - Complainant and Respondent have voluntarily consented to informal resolution, in writing

- Informal resolution may consist of the following, as well as other informal resolution procedures:
  - A written agreement, facilitated by the Title IX Coordinator or others
  - Restorative practices, See, e.g., MCL 380.1310c
  - Facilitated mediation
  - Arbitration, etc.

- Example letters [see next slide]
Investigation - Overview

- Appointing the Investigator
- Fairness and Impartiality
- Respondent’s Response
- Interviews and Information Gathering
- The Investigator’s Report
- Questions, Responses, and Appeals
Appointing the Investigator

- The Title IX Coordinator or designee may serve as the Investigator
- Consider the Pros and Cons:
  - The Title IX Coordinator is very familiar with the school district’s Title IX policy, the requirements of Title IX, and has training and experience
  - The Title IX Coordinator’s role as an impartial investigator may limit his/her ability to empathically support Complainant and Respondent
  - After the parties receive the Title IX Coordinator’s investigative report, one or both may not view the Title IX Coordinator as impartial

Fairness and Impartiality

- Bias
  - The Investigator may not be biased
  - Bias is a predisposition or preconceived opinion that prevents a person from impartially evaluating facts that have been presented for determination; a prejudice
- Presumption of Innocence
  - Until the investigation is completed the Investigator must presume Respondent has not sexually harassed Complainant
Respondent’s Response

- Respondent should be given an opportunity to submit a written response
- Respondent’s response may help focus the investigation and highlight or identify relevant witnesses and information
- The School District should not require a response

Interviews and Information Gathering

- Interviewing Complainant and Respondent
  - Complainant and Respondent should be interviewed in every case
  - The interview must be preceded by written notice that gives the parties adequate time to prepare for the interview and:
    - identifies the date, time, and place of the interview
    - provides both parties with all relevant evidence (redacted per FERPA)
    - The same notice may preclude the parties from disclosing the relevant evidence and/or conditioning production of relevant evidence on the execution of a non-disclosure agreement. Example letters [see next slide]
  - Both parties and their parents and advisors may attend the interview
  - In order to maintain control, the Investigator should prohibit direct exchanges between the parties, parents, and advisors
  - The Investigator should create a written summary of the interview as soon as practicable
Interviews and Information Gathering

- Interviewing Witnesses
  - Generally, all identified eye-witnesses should be interviewed
  - Questioning should cover the allegations, relationship to the parties, and the identification of other witnesses and information of which the parties may not be aware
  - The Investigator should create a summary of the interview as soon as practicable

- Securing Data, Documents, and Other Information
Interviews and Information Gathering

- Relevant Evidence
  - Title IX does not assume Investigators will apply the Rules of Evidence like lawyers in a trial
  - Investigators should consider information “relevant” if it makes the existence of an allegation, or a response to an allegation, more or less likely

- Rape-Shield
  - Information about the sexual behavior or proclivities of Complainant is not relevant, by definition, unless it is about Complainant’s sexual behavior with Respondent to prove consent

- Privileged Information
  - The Investigator may not seek, access, or rely on privileged information (e.g., medical records) without a party’s written consent

The Investigative Report

- The Investigator must complete an investigative report within the timeline specified in the school district’s Title IX policy
- The Title IX Coordinator may grant extensions (adjournments) for good cause
- The investigative report must fairly summarize the relevant evidence
- The Investigator should not resolve credibility issues, but should fairly summarize information that may bear on the Decision-Maker’s resolution of credibility issues
Delivery of Investigative Report

- The Investigator must deliver the following documents to the Title IX Coordinator and simultaneously to the parties within the time specified in the District’s Title IX policy:
  - A cover letter – Example [see next slide]
  - The investigative report
  - Witness summaries (redacted per FERPA)
  - Other relevant information
Parties’ Questions and Responses

- The parties, after receiving the investigative report, may submit written questions to the opposite party or witnesses and may, within the time permitted by the school district’s Title IX policy, file a response.
- Generally, the Investigator should submit written questions and provide responses. If the Investigator declines to submit a question, he/she must notify the party who submitted it with an explanation.

The Decision-Maker

- The Decision-Maker determines whether Respondent sexually harassed Complainant.
- **The Decision-Maker may not be the Title IX Coordinator or the Investigator**
- The Decision-Maker:
  - Reviews all of the information collected during the investigation.
  - Issues a decision concluding Respondent is or is not responsible for sexual harassment in violation of Title IX.
Decision – Standard of Proof

- The standard of evidence may be "a preponderance of the evidence" or "clear and convincing evidence".
- Proof by a "preponderance of the evidence" means the evidence on one side of a question is more convincing than the evidence on the other side.
  - This concept must be distinguished from the quantity of the evidence.
  - In other words, for a variety of reasons, one witness may be more convincing than two others.
- "Clear and convincing evidence" is a higher standard of proof.
  - Evidence is "clear and convincing" if the evidence on one side of a question is highly and substantially more probable than the evidence on the other side.

Decision - Credibility

- Credibility determinations may be based on a variety of factors, including:
  - Ability and capability to observe
  - Consistency with other known facts
  - Consistency over time
  - Relationship to parties
  - Others
- The Decision-Maker may not make credibility determinations based on a person’s status as a Complainant or Respondent.
Decision-Maker’s Report

- Required Components
- The Decision-Maker’s Report must be delivered to the parties and the Title IX Coordinator
  - Complainant’s allegations
  - Procedural steps taken to resolve allegations
  - Site visits, or other methods used to gather evidence
  - Findings of fact
    - The application of the school district’s Title IX policy and the school district’s student code of conduct
  - A statement of and rationale for the result as to each allegation; including determinations of responsibility, disciplinary sanctions, whether Complainant will be provided remedies to restore or preserve his/her equal access to the school district’s education programs and activities, and the procedure and bases for appeal

Appeals

- Complainant or Respondent may appeal the Decision-Maker’s Decision
- Bases for Appeal:
  - Procedural irregularity affecting the outcome
  - Decision-Maker not aware of newly discovered evidence
  - Bias or conflict of interest on the part of the Investigator or Decision-Maker
  - How shown?
Resolving Appeals

- Appellate Officer must:
  - Review the appeal
  - Determine whether the appeal implicates one or more the bases for appeal
- If not, the appeal should be denied
- If so, the Appellate Officer must:
  - Review the entire record
  - Decide whether to grant the appeal, in whole or in part
  - Affirm or reverse the Decision, in whole or in part
  - If necessary, remand the Decision to the Investigator or Decision-Maker for further action
- Decision are not final until the appeal process is over or the time for taking an appeal has passed with no appeal

Retaliation

- Retaliation is broadly prohibited by the new regulations
- “For the purpose of interfering with Title IX rights” or “because the person has participated or refused to participate in any manner in a proceeding under Title IX regulations”
- Charges against an individual for SCC violations not involving sex discrimination or sexual harassment, but arising out of the same facts or circumstances, constitute retaliation IF the purpose is to interfere with any right or privilege secured by Title IX
Recordkeeping

- Records related to alleged sexual harassment must be maintained for a minimum of seven (7) years.
  - All records... investigation records, disciplinary sanctions, remedies, appeals, supportive measures, etc.
- Must document:
  - Why response was not deliberately indifferent
  - Measures were taken to restore or preserve equal access to educational program or activity
  - If no supportive measures provided, why that was not deliberately indifferent

Training

- Required for ALL staff (to identify and report sexual harassment)
- Required for Title IX Coordinator, investigators, and decision-makers (both initial and appeal)
- Maintain records for seven (7) years and post training materials on website**
  - Attorney copyright considerations

*Attorney copyright considerations*
Policy/Regulation Considerations

• Sample Policy =

Discrimination and Harassment. The Board of Education is committed to maintaining a learning/working environment in which all individuals are treated with dignity and respect, free from illegal discrimination and harassment. There will be no tolerance for discrimination or harassment on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, marital status, genetic information, disability, age, or any other basis prohibited by law. The Superintendent will develop administrative regulations to implement this policy. The Superintendent designates [insert name and position, (which position must include the term “Title IX Coordinator”)] to supervise the implementation of this policy and its implementing regulations.
Hypothetical #1

Mr. John Jones is the Principal of Harmony High School in suburban Detroit. Mr. Jones has been employed by the Harmony School District for 32 years. Prior to becoming Principal, he was a high school English teacher for 10 years and an Assistant Principal for 5 years.

The Superintendent of the Harmony School District receives a telephone call from one of Mr. Jones' former students, Mrs. Jennifer Smith, who is now a mother. She inquires whether her daughter, a rising freshman, can attend the School District's Symphony High School instead of Harmony. The Superintendent asks why? In response, Mrs. Smith explains that, when she was a student, she had an affair with Mr. Jones and the thought of her daughter attending Harmony makes her very uncomfortable. The Superintendent grants the transfer request.

The Superintendent calls the School District's attorney and asks her to investigate Mrs. Smith's allegation against Mr. Jones. Mrs. Smith Meet the attorney and tells him:

- She served as a classroom assistant for Mr. Jones, who was single at the time;
- She was 17 years old and he was 23;
- Over time, they developed feelings for each other;
- They met at Mr. Jones apartment for lunches and dinners;
- They hugged and kissed at his apartment, but nothing else;
- She suggested they meet in Windsor, Ontario for dinner at a romantic river-front restaurant where they were unlikely to be seen by anyone they knew;
- After dinner, Mr. Jones rented a motel room where they had sexual relations;
- Their romantic and sexual relationship continued, off-and-on, for two more years, until she ended it;
- Now that she's older, she realizes their relationship was wrong and blames Mr. Jones;
- She does not hold any hard feelings, but doesn’t feel comfortable with her daughter attending Harmony High School.
The School District’s attorney then interviews Mr. Jones. After the usual amount of evasion and equivocation, Mr. Jones admits:

- Jennifer was an intelligent but troubled young lady;
- Jennifer told him she was using drugs;
- Jennifer told him she had been sexually abused by an uncle;
- Jennifer complained to him that other girls circulated rumors she was promiscuous, although “it was none of their business;”
- He wanted to help her because he saw her potential;
- She was seductive and initiated the romantic and sexual aspects of their relationship;
- The only time they did anything other than kiss, at least before she graduated, was in the motel room in Windsor; and,
- He now regrets their relationship, but the events that occurred after she graduated were between consenting adults and, in his opinion, are not the School District’s concern.

The School District’s attorney prepares a report based on these interviews, which he presents to the Superintendent and the Board of Education. The report is presented in closed session, as permitted by Michigan’s Open Meetings Act, because the attorney’s report falls within the attorney-client privilege. The Board does not make any decisions during the closed session, but the Superintendent gathers the impression it would be best if Mr. Jones chose to voluntarily resign to avoid the scandal that would surely ensue if Mrs. Smith’s story become public. The School District’s attorney negotiates a severance agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Jones is compensated for the remainder of the school year and receives a favorable letter of recommendation from the Superintendent.
Questions – Hypothetical #1

• Did the Superintendent violate Title IX? How?
• Assume the Superintendent reported his conversation with Mrs. Smith to the Title IX Coordinator. What is the next step?
• What do we call Mrs. Smith?
• What do we call Mr. Jones?
• Is Mrs. Smith or the Title IX Coordinator required to file a formal complaint?
• The definition of sexual harassment has three components. What are they and does Mr. Jones’ conduct fall within one or more of the definitions?
• If a formal complaint is filed, is the Title IX Coordinator required to dismiss it? If so, why?
• If a formal complaint is filed, does the Title IX Coordinator have the discretion to dismiss it? If so, why?

• Assume an investigation takes place:
  • May Mr. Jones and Mrs. Smith sit in on each others’ interviews? If so, may anyone else sit in?
  • Assume Mr. Jones tells the Investigator the same things he told the School District’s attorney. Which of these items is irrelevant?
  • Assume the Investigator is a School District administrator who is in the same union as Mr. Jones. Does this establish a disqualifying bias or conflict of interest? Does it make any difference if the Investigator is the president of the union? Does it make any difference if the Investigator and Mrs. Smith attend the same church?
  • The local newspaper makes a Freedom of Information Act request for the Investigator’s file. Can the School District refuse to produce the file?
Hypothetical #2

The Harmony High School marching band camp is a week-long event that takes place at a privately owned facility near Jackson, Michigan. The camp is voluntary, but the band director encourages members to participate. The cabins are segregated by sex.

Jake, who plays trumpet, and Emily, a percussionist, are 18 year old seniors. They dated for two years but broke up two weeks before camp started.

The third night, several students, including Jake and Emily, get high after the adults go to sleep. Emily gets higher than she intended. Jake and Emily walk into the woods, hand-in-hand. The other kids go back to their cabins.

Emily returns to her cabin disheveled and sobbing, claiming she was raped by Jake. One of her cabin-mates reports this to the band director who reports to the Title IX Coordinator, as required by School District policy. The band director also takes Emily to the hospital.

The Title IX Coordinator contacts Emily to ascertain appropriate supportive measures and determine whether she wants to file a formal complaint. She does. The Title IX Coordinator also contacts Emily’s parents. Emily’s parents, livid, insist that Jake be removed from the marching band and expelled from school. The Title IX Coordinator declines to remove Jake from the marching band or initiate disciplinary procedures. However, she does issue a mutual no-contact order.

The Title IX Coordinator decides to do the investigation herself. Jake’s parents hire an attorney to act as his advocate. The Title IX Coordinator interviews Emily. Jake and his attorney are present. The band director is present as Emily’s advocate. Emily’s father is also present. Emily states:
• She was high and doesn’t remember everything that happened;
• She remembers:
  • Walking into the woods with Jake;
  • Making out with Jake;
  • Telling Jake to stop because they had broken up;
  • Jake on top of her, engaging in intercourse against her will; and,
  • Going to the hospital.
• She does not remember returning to her cabin.

At the end of the interview, while everyone is still in the room, Jake’s attorney insists the Title IX Coordinator secure a release of information from the hospital. Emily’s father flatly refuses. The Title IX Coordinator also interviews Jake. Emily and the band director are present. Jake states:

• He was high but remembers what happened;
• Emily was also high, but acting normal;
• They made out;
• She started to perform oral sex on him then stopped, saying it was wrong because they had broken up;
• They laid next to each other for a while and talked;
• Without saying anything, she leaned over, kissed him and they started making out again;
• Making out led to sex without another word being spoken;
• The sex was no different than dozens of times when they were dating; and,
• Suddenly, she pushed him off, jumped up, grabbed her clothes, and started running toward her cabin.
• He started to follow her but changed his mind and walked back to his cabin.
Questions – Hypothetical #2

- Does the Title IX Coordinator have the authority to investigate this case, which occurred off School District premises and on private property? If so, why?
- With respect to the Title IX Coordinator’s authority, does it matter Jake and Emily are adults?
- Can/should the Title IX Coordinator report this matter to the police? What is the likely effect of reporting to the police?
- Who else should the Title IX Coordinator interview?
- What should the Title IX Coordinator do about Jake’s attorney’s request for Emily’s hospital records?
- Is Jake’s statement about previous sexual experiences with Emily relevant?
- The School District has adopted a “preponderance of the evidence” standard for Title IX investigations. If, at the end of the day, the Decision-Maker determines the evidence is perfectly balanced what should be her conclusion?

- How can the Decision-Maker resolve a case when Claimant says one thing and Respondent says another (i.e., he said – she said)? What factors may the Decision-Maker not take into account when making a credibility determination?
- Assume the Decision-Maker concludes Jake is responsible for sexual harassment.
  - What is the appropriate penalty?
  - Jake appeals on the grounds his responsibility was not established by a “preponderance of the evidence.” What are the appellate officer’s options?
- Assume the Decision-Make concludes Jake is not responsible for sexual harassment. How should the Title IX Coordinator address the inevitable concerns that will be raised by Emily and her parents?
Hypothetical #3

Jason and Jessica were 14 when they started “talking.” Eventually, Jason persuaded Jessica to trade intimate pictures. Jessica broke up with Jason a few weeks later. Jason texts Jessica’s pictures to several friends as revenge. Jessica finds out but, mortified, doesn’t tell her parents.

Jessica, claiming illness, misses the first few days of school. Eventually, her parents insist she go to school. The first day back is awful. Jessica thinks everyone is looking, whispering, laughing, and pointing. She tells her teacher she feels sick and is excused to go to the office where she runs into her counselor, Mrs. Anderson. Realizing something is wrong, Mrs. Anderson takes Jessica to her office where Jessica confides what happened.

Mrs. Anderson informs the Title IX Coordinator, Mrs. Goodman. Mrs. Goodman contacts Jessica and her parents to discuss supportive measures and Jessica’s right to file a formal complaint. Mrs. Goodman suggests that, as other students have seen the pictures, it might best if Jessica transfers to another school for a fresh start. Mrs. Goodman also suggests it might be best to hold off on a formal Title IX complaint, as ordinary school disciplinary procedure will probably reach a more expeditious conclusion. Finally, Mrs. Goodman points out it might be wise to file a police report. Jessica and her parents decide to follow Mrs. Goodman’s advice. Mrs. Goodman confirms their discussion in a letter, including a copy of the School District’s Title IX policy, and, issues a no-contact order to Jason and Jessica.

The building administration initiates disciplinary action and temporarily suspends Jason. The building administration also schedules a manifestation determination (MDR) for Jason, who has a 504 Plan based on a diagnosis of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) and depression. Separately, the police charge Jason, obtain a warrant, seize his phone and computers, and run down and seize most of the pictures. The MDR concludes Jason’s misconduct was a manifestation of his disability and disciplinary proceedings are terminated. Jason returns to school. The criminal charges proceed in Juvenile Court.
In the meantime, Jessica is doing as well as might be expected at her new school. A couple of weeks, Jessica’s parents find out Jason is still in school. Stunned, they contact Mrs. Goodman. Mrs. Goodman confirms Jason’s status and, otherwise, declines to provide and explanation based on Jason’s “privacy rights.” Jessica’s parents, outraged, insist on filing a formal complaint and consult a private attorney to determine what recourse exists against the School District and/or Jason.

Questions – Hypothetical #3

- Should Mrs. Anderson, the counselor, be concerned she divulged Jessica’s confidential communication to Mrs. Goodman, the Title IX Coordinator?
- Assume the Title IX investigation determines the facts are exactly as described above.
- Is Jason responsible for sexual harassment?
- If Jason is responsible for sexual harassment:
  - What sanction is appropriate for Jason?
  - What remedy is appropriate for Jessica?
- What legal remedy does Jessica have against the School District?
- What legal remedy does Jessica have against Jason?
- What is the moral of this story?