## IMPLEMENTING STANDARDS BASED <br> GRADING IN LOCS

2022 MEMSPA
ANNUAL CONFERENCE


```
MEET YOUR
LAKE ORION COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PRESENTERS
```


## Mrs. Sarah Perry <br> Oakview Middle School

Mr. DavidMcKay
Scripps Middle School

Dr. Randy Groya Waldon Middle School

## WHY DID LAKE ORION MAKETHE LEAP INTO STANDARDS BASED GRADING?

## INSPIRATION FOR MAKING CHANGESTO OUR GRADING PRACTICES <br> HTTPS://WWW.Y OUTUBE.COM WATCH?V=0FN VAHU LW

# GRADES-WHAT DOTHEY REALLY SHOW? 

## Discuss at your table your district's current grading system

A. How much autonomy do teachers have with the creation of their grading scale?
B. Do teachers of the same grade/subject use the same scale for tabulating student grades (i.e., Does an "A" in Teacher X's class mean the same in Teacher Y's class?)?
C. How would teachers in your building define the purpose of grades?

## GRADING PRACTICES,THE POWER OF ZERO, AND FEEDBACK

## - FIX \# \| DON'T INCLUDE STUDENT BEHAVIORS IN GRADES

- FIX \# 2 FULL CREDIT FOR LATEWORK
- FIX \# 3 EXTRA CREDIT
- FIX \# I3 SUMMATIVEVS FORMATIVE (HOMEWORK)

A REPAIR KIT FOR GRADING

15 Fixes Jor Broken Grades


Ken O'Comnor


November 2011| Volume 69 | Number 3
Effective Grading Practices Pages 90-93

## Tell Me About ... / A Time When Grades Were Motivating-Or Not

) the "punishment" theory of grading might רey use zeros, Mr. Reeves suggests.

[^0]
## THE POWER OF ZERO

- School Improvement Team Researched Articles and watched Videos
- Presentation to Staff about the Problem with Zero


## Waldon Middle School 2013-2014 Grading Standard Guidelines (p. 11)

### 2.51 GRADING STANDARD GUIDELINES

The following GRADING STANDARD GUIDELINES have been developed for the purpose of evaluating student progress in a consistent manner within the district. Teachers develop personal grading scales within the guidelines listed below.

## Percentage, Grade and GPA

| Percentage | Grade | GPA | Percentage | Grade | GPA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $94-100$ | A | 4.0 | $73-76$ | C | 2.0 |
| $90-93$ | A- | 3.7 | $70-72$ | C- | 1.7 |
| $87-89$ | B+ | 3.3 | $67-69$ | D+ | 1.3 |
| $83-86$ | B | 3.0 | $63-66$ | D | 1.0 |
| $80-82$ | B- | 2.7 | $60-62$ | D- | .7 |
| $77-79$ | C+ | 2.3 | $0-59$ | E | 0 |

Current Grading System Using Letter Grade Scale


| Letter <br> Grade | Scale |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 4.0 |
| A- | 3.7 |
| B+ | 3.3 |
| B | 3.0 |
| B- | 2.7 |
| C+ | 2.3 |
| C | 2.0 |
| C- | 1.7 |
| D+ | 1.3 |
| D | 1.0 |
| D- | 0.7 |
| E | 0.0 |
|  |  |

## Current Grading System Using Percentages Scale



| Letter <br> Grade | Scale |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | $100-94$ |
| A- | $93-90$ |
| B+ | $89-87$ |
| B | $86-83$ |
| B- | $82-80$ |
| C+ | $79-77$ |
| C | $76-73$ |
| C- | $72-70$ |
| D+ | $69-67$ |
| D | $65-63$ |
| D- | $62-60$ |
| E | $59-0$ |

## What does that mean for a student?

| Score | \% | Grd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/10 | 100 | A |
| 0/10 | 0 | E |
| 25/25 | 100 | A |
| 0/5 | 0 | E |
| 0/45 | 0 | E |
| 0/35 | 0 | E |
| 10/10 | 100 |  |
| 0/35 | 0 | E |
| 19/20 | 95 | A |
| 0/40 | 0 | E |
| 0/35 | 0 |  |
| 10/10 | 100 |  |
| 10/20 | 50 | E |
| 0/20 | 0 | E |
| 0/35 | 0 |  |
| 38/45 | 84.44 |  |
| 0/35 | 0 | E |
| 9 |  |  |
| 0/35 | 0 |  |
| 10/10 | 100 |  |
| 50/50 | 100 | A |

## Quiz/Assessment

At the 6 week mark the student earned
$35 \%$, but was able to pass quizzes and tests at near $100 \%$. At this point mathematically this student could not pass this class.

## And, the next 4 weeks looked like this...

 Even if the student received $100 \%$ on the remainder of the items graded, they would only be able to bring their grade up to a $54 \%$.Which is still not passing...and this student knew it.

## But, what if $59 \%$ was put in for each $E$ ?

| Score | $\%$ | Grd | Score | $\%$ | Grd |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 10$ | 100 | A | 10 | 100 | A |
| $0 / 10$ | 0 | E | 6 | 59 | E |
| $25 / 25$ | 100 | A | 25 | 100 | A |
| $0 / 5$ | 0 | E | 3 | 59 | E |
| $0 / 45$ | 0 | E | 27 | 59 | E |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | 21 | 59 | E |
| $10 / 10$ | 100 | A | 21 | 10 | 100 |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | A |  |  |
| $19 / 20$ | 95 | A | 21 | 59 | E |
| $0 / 40$ | 0 | E | 19 | 95 | A |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | 24 | 59 | E |
| $10 / 10$ | 100 | A | 21 | 59 | E |
| $10 / 20$ | 50 | E | 10 | 100 | A |
| $0 / 20$ | 0 | E | 10 | 100 | A |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | 21 | 59 | E |
| $38 / 45$ | 84.44 | B | 38 | 84.44 | E |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | 38 | 21 | 59 |
| 9 |  |  | E | 21 | 59 |
| $0 / 35$ | 0 | E | E | E |  |
| $10 / 10$ | 100 | A | 10 | 100 | A |
| $50 / 50$ | 100 | A | 50 | 100 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 3 3 8 9 5}$ | C |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

REALIZATION WEAREALL LOOKING AT THE SAME TOPIC

- Encouraging HOPE
- The need for CONSISTENT expectations in all classes
- More INFORMATIVE communication tool
- SUMMATIVE vs FORMATIVE
- Mastery of STANDARDS, not accumulate POINTS
- Attended Rick Wormeli presentation in Schwartz Creek
- Difficulty aligning current grading scale to the 4 point scale for Readers and Writers Workshop
- Athletic Eligibility
- Awards
- Handbook


## TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTING SBG



## 20|3-20|4

- Schoolwide/building focus
- Inquiry

Book Study
Surveys


## 2014-2015

- Middle Level Focus
- Attend Wormeli Presentation
- Webinar with Schimmer
- Committee Formed
- Standards/PowerSchool Test server
- Pilot using 0-4 Scale and .5's discussion
- Mathification



## 2015-2016

- Middle Level Focus

Schimmer Presented to LO

- Pilots by more staff
- Power Standards/Literacy Standards
- Focus on Retakes
- Full credit
- Eligibility for Retake
- Communication
- First score reported on Inform



## 2016-2017

- Implementation of Grading Practices District wide
- Schimmer Returned to LO
- Special Education
- No Zero mandate
- Grading Guidelines
- Retake Policy



## 2017-2018

- District wide Grade Reporting
- Report Card changes

GPA converted to Letter Grade

Parent Communication

- Citizenship Discussion
- Teacher Survey



## 2018-2019

- District wide Implementation
- No Letter Grades
- Report Cards by

Semester

- Academic and Behavioral

Descriptors


## 2019-2020

## Student Handbook changes <br> GPA matches HS

Parent Communication
Citizenship Discussion

## COVID-I9

## 20I 9-2020 AND <br> 2020-202 I... <br> EVERYTHING GOES TO HELL.



## 202I-2022

- Staff turnover since implementation
- Reestablish what the intent of SBG
- Reconvene SBG group to discuss current and future needs
- Report Cards updated


## WHAT WORKED WELL AND WHAT'S LEFT TO DO?

## What Worked Well-

- Consistent members on the committee
- Keeping a priority with Central Office support
- Shared Leadership (Autonomy to Try different things)
- Having "Experts" work with staff through process
- Let the process be the process-slow to go fast


## What's Left to Do-

- Reaffirm our commitment to the process
- Continue to have common language/communication
- Teacher to Teacher
- Teacher to Families


## INTENTIONAL/UNINTENTIONAL CONSEQUENCES

Decrease in discipline (students maintained all semester)


Clear expectations (what is accepted, retakes, rubrics, etc.)

High School teachers have changed some of their grading practices

Deeper understanding by teachers of their standards and curriculum

## A blank box indicates the standard was not assessed at this time

| LANG ARTS 8 JULIE BENNETT | S1 | S2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engage in collaborative discussions, interpret information presented in diverse media and evaluate speaker's argument for relevancy |  |  |
| Present claims and findings logically using formal English, effective presentation techniques and integrating multi-media |  |  |
| Demonstrate command of standard English grammar, conventions and language when writing, speaking, listening or reading to achieve varying effects | 3.5 |  |
| Acquire and use vocabulary, applying a variety of strategies to determine word meanings including figurative language, word relationships, and nuances in word meaning | 4 |  |
| ELA Reading |  |  |
| Cite textual evidence to analyze a text, support the theme, develop relationships between story elements and write an objective summary. | 4 |  |
| Analyze the impact of word choice, structure and point of view on the meaning of a text |  |  |
| Compare and contrast a written text and its multimedia version. |  |  |
| Read and comprehend 6-8th grade literature with proficiency. | 3 |  |
| Cite textual evidence to support an analysis of a text, find the central idea and write an objective summary | 4 |  |
| Analyze the impact of word choice, text structure and point of view or purpose on the meaning of a text | 4 |  |
| Evaluate how multiple texts or mediums on the same topic present information and ideas, assessing the use of sound evidence and reasoning to support a claim | 4 |  |
| Read and comprehend 6-8th grade informational texts with proficiency |  |  |
| ELA Writing |  |  |
| Write arguments to support claims organized with clear reasons and relevant evidence while maintaining a formal style | 4 |  |
| Write informative/explanatory text to examine a topic and convey ideas with relevant facts, incorporating transitions, technical vocabulary and formal style |  |  |
| Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences using effective technique, relevant descriptive details and wellstructured event sequences | 4 |  |
| Collaborate with others to produce a clear and coherent writing using the writing process and technology taking into consideration purpose and audience | 4 |  |
| Use credible sources, paraphrase and quote evidence in order to research, analyze and reflect | 3.5 |  |
| Write routinely for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes and audiences |  |  |

## Write routinely for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes and audiences

## Teacher Comments:

S1 - Motivated and hard working
Consistently prepared for learning

## SAMPLE REPORT CARD

## WHAT ROADBLOCKS OR QUESTIONS MIGHTYOUR STAFF, BUILDING, DISTRICT, PARENTS, OR SCHOOL BOARD MY HAVE ABOUT IMPLEMENTING SGB?

## BE SUREYOU THINK ABOUT....

- How do you hold students accountable?
- Include Special Education and Elective Teachers in process
- Grade inflation conversation
- Weighted grade conversation
- "Not preparing them for the real world"
- Impact on awards, athletic eligibility, etc.
- Communicating with School Board (board policies)
- Parents not understanding
- And, much, much more!!


## QUESTIONS


[^0]:    I Wanted More Than "Good Job"

